

FORM PTO-1390 REV. 5-93		US DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTORNEYS DOCKET NUMBER <b>P99,0100</b>
<b>TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371</b>			U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5) <b>09/254242</b>
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. <b>PCT/DE97/01920</b>	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE <b>02 SEPTEMBER 1997</b>	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED <b>03 SEPTEMBER 1996</b>	
TITLE OF INVENTION <b>SPEECH PROCESSING SYSTEM AND METHOD</b>			
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US <b>Gerhard Niedermair et al</b>			
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is a <b>FIRST</b> submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.</li> <li>2. <input type="checkbox"/> This is a <b>SECOND</b> or <b>SUBSEQUENT</b> submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.</li> <li>3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay.</li> <li>4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.</li> <li>5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A copy of International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)) - drawings attached. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).</li> <li>b. <input type="checkbox"/> has been transmitted by the International Bureau.</li> <li>c. <input type="checkbox"/> is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US)</li> </ol> </li> <li>6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2) - drawings attached.</li> <li>7. <input type="checkbox"/> Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(3)) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <input type="checkbox"/> are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).</li> <li>b. <input type="checkbox"/> have been transmitted by the International Bureau.</li> <li>c. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.</li> <li>d. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made.</li> </ol> </li> <li>8. <input type="checkbox"/> A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).</li> <li>9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).</li> <li>10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).</li> </ol>			
Items 11. to 16. below concern other document(s) or information included:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.97 and 1.98; (PTO 1449, Prior Art, Search Report).</li> <li>12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 3.28 and 3.31 is included. (SEE ATTACHED ENVELOPE)</li> <li>13. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A FIRST preliminary amendment. <input type="checkbox"/> A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.</li> <li>14. <input type="checkbox"/> A substitute specification.</li> <li>15. <input type="checkbox"/> A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.</li> <li>16. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other items or information: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submission of Drawings - 4 sheets of drawings, (Figures 1-4).</li> <li>b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXPRESS MAIL #EL120646652US dated March 2, 1999.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>			

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 C.F.R. 1.5)		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/DE97/01920		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER P99,0100	
17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:  <b>BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 C.F.R. 1.492(a)(1)-(5):</b> Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... \$840.00  International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 C.F.R. 1.482) .. \$670.00  No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 C.F.R. 1.482) but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 C.F.R. 1.445(a)(2)) ..... \$760.00  Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 C.F.R. 1.482) nor international search fee (37 C.F.R. 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ..... \$970.00  International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 C.F.R. 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4) ..... \$ 96.00  <b>ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =</b>				CALCULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 C.F.R. 1.492(e)).				\$	
Claims	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate		
Total Claims	10 - 20 =	0	X \$ 18.00	\$	
Independent Claims	02 - 3 =	0	X \$ 78.00	\$	
Multiple Dependent Claims			\$260.00 +	\$	
<b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b>				\$ 840.00	
Reduction by 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity statement must also be filed. (Note 37 C.F.R. 1.9, 1.27, 1.28)				\$	
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>				\$ 840.00	
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$	
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</b>				\$ 840.00	
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 C.F.R. 1.21(h). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 C.F.R. 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property				+	
<b>TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</b>				\$ 840.00	
				Amount to be refunded	\$
				charged	\$
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$ <u>840.00</u> to cover the above fees is enclosed.					
b. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>08-2290</u> . A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 C.F.R. 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 C.F.R. 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.					
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:			SIGNATURE		
Hill & Simpson A Professional Corporation 85th Floor Sears Tower Chicago, Illinois 60606			John R. Garrett NAME		
			27,888 Registration Number		

- 1 -

BOX PCT

IN THE UNITED STATES ELECTED OFFICE  
OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY-CHAPTER II

5

**PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**

APPLICANT(S): Gerhard Niedermair et al DOCKET NO: P99,0100

SERIAL NO: GROUP ART UNIT:

EXAMINER:

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO: PCT/DE97/01920

10 INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE: 02 September 1997

INVENTION: **SPEECH PROCESSING SYSTEM AND METHOD**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents,  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

15 Please amend the above-identified application as follows before  
calculation of the U.S. national fee under 35 U.S.C. 371 (c) (1).

**IN THE SPECIFICATION:**

On page 1, please delete lines 1-4 and insert the following:

**--SPECIFICATION**

20

**TITLE**

**SPEECH PROCESSING SYSTEM AND METHOD**

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION--.**

On page 2, line 8, please change "In order to [. . .]" to --There is a  
greater need for--.

On page 2, lines 13-14, please change “, up to now” to --. In the prior art--.

On page 3, line 1, please change “[3]” to --the reference by Caspari, R., 1993, “Bottom Up Generation from Flat Semantic Structures,” Proc. Deklarative und prozedurale Aspekte der Sprachverarbeitung, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Sprachverarbeitung, Sektion Computerlinguistik, 4<sup>th</sup> Technical Conference, pp. 1-6--.

On page 3, line 9, please change “[6]” to --Great Britain reference GB 2 119 208--.

On page 3, after line 13, as a separate line before line 14, please insert the following heading:

**--SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION--**

On page 3, please delete lines 19-21.

On page 4, line 23, please change “development support in the creation of” --developing a--.

On page 5, please delete lines 9-10.

On page 5, please delete lines 23-24 and insert the following heading and paragraph:

**--BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

The features of the present invention which are believed to be novel, are set forth with particularity in the appended claims. The invention, together with further objects and advantages, may best be understood by reference to the following description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in the several Figures of which like reference numerals identify like elements, and in which:--

On page 6, after line 2, as a separate line before line 3, please insert --**DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS**--.

On page 6, line 27, please change "documents [1] and [2]" to -- the reference by G. Ruske, "Automatische Spracherkennung, Oldenbourg-Verlag", 1<sup>st</sup> ed., ISBN 3-486-20877-2, Munich, pp. 106-171, 1988 and the reference by A. Zell, Simulation neuronal Netze, Edison Wesley, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., ISBN 3-89319-554-8, pp. 137 - 143, 1994--.

On page 8, line 26, please change "document [1]" to --the reference by G. Ruske, "Automatische Spracherkennung, Oldenbourg-Verlag", 1<sup>st</sup> ed., ISBN 3-486-20877-2, Munich, pp. 106-171, 1988--.

On page 11, line 30, please change "document [4]" to --the reference by Naumann, Sven and Hagen Langer, 1994: Parsing, Stuttgart, Teubner, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., ISBN 3-519-02139-0, pp. 155 - 166--.

On page 12, line 14, please change "[. . .]" to --for--.

On page 13, line 31, please change "document 5" to --German reference DE 44 12 309 A1--.

On page 19, line 26, please change "cf." to --see--.

On page 22, line 2, please change "document [3]" to --the reference by Caspari, R., 1993, "Bottom Up Generation from Flat Semantic Structures", Proc. Deklarative und prozedurale Aspekte der Sprachverarbeitung, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Sprachverarbeitung, Sektion Computerlinguistik, 4<sup>th</sup> Technical Conference, pp. 1 - 6--.

On page 23, line 4, please change "document [4]" to --the reference by Naumann, Sven and Hagen Langer, 1994: Parsing, Stuttgart, Teubner, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., ISBN 3-519-02139-0, pp. 155 - 166--.

On page 24, line 2, please change "is [sic]" to --are--.

On page 25, line 16, please change "document [3]" to --the reference by Caspari, R., 1993, Bottom Up Generation from Flat Semantic Structures, Proc. Deklarative und prozedurale Aspekte der Sprachverarbeitung,

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Sprachverarbeitung, Sektion Computerlinguistik,  
4<sup>th</sup> Technical Conference, pp. 1 - 6--.

On page 37, line 31, after "module" please insert --VV'--.

On page 38, after line 7, please insert the following paragraph:

5           --The invention is not limited to the particular details of the method  
and apparatus depicted and other modifications and applications are  
contemplated. Certain other changes may be made in the above described  
method and apparatus without departing from the true spirit and scope of the  
invention herein involved. It is intended, therefore, that the subject matter in  
10       the above depiction shall be interpreted as illustrative and not in a limiting  
sense.--

**IN THE CLAIMS:**

On replacement page 40, line 1, please change "Patent claims" to --

**WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:**

15           **Please amend claims 1-10 as follows:**

1.       **(Amended)** [Speech] A speech processing system, comprising:  
at least one of a plurality of [-having several] speech recognition  
modules and a plurality of [(Ei, i=1..n) and/or several] speech output modules  
[(Aj, j=1..m) that are], each of the speech recognition modules and speech  
20       output modules being respectively constructed specifically for a particular  
type of speech recognition and/or speech output[,]; and

          [- having a means (MA)] a selector for selecting at least one speech  
recognition module [(Ei)] and/or speech output module [(Aj)] for a speech  
recognition and/or speech output to be carried out later, dependent on an  
25       input signal [(ES) with which it is described] that describes what type of  
speech recognition and/or speech output is further required.

2.       **(Amended)** [Speech] The speech processing system according to claim 1, wherein [in which] the [means (MA)] selector for selecting the speech recognition module [(Ei)] and/or the speech output module [(Aj)] is constructed [in] such [a way] that the speech recognition module [(Ei)] and/or  
5       the speech output module [(Aj)] is controlled by the selector [means (MA)].
3.       **(Amended)** [Speech] The speech processing system according to claim 1 [or 2, in which] wherein parts of the speech recognition module [(Ei)] and/or of the speech output module [(Aj)] that are used in common are realized in at least one pre-processing module [(VV)] and/or in at least one  
10       post-processing module, respectively.
4.       **(Amended)** [Speech] The speech processing system according to claim 3, wherein [in which] several speech recognition modules of the plurality of speech recognition modules [(Ei)] and/or speech output modules of the plurality of speech output modules [(Aj)] use common resources.
- 15       5.       **(Amended)** [Speech] The speech processing system according to claim 1, wherein the system further comprises [one of claims 1 to 4, in which] a dialog sequence control [(DA) is provided] with which a dialog of the speech processing system with a user [(B)] of the speech processing system is realized.
- 20       6.       **(Amended)** [Speech] The speech processing system according to claim 5, wherein [one of claims 1 to 5, in which] the input signal [(ES)] is produced by the dialog sequence control [(DA)].

7.       **(Amended)** [Speech] The speech processing system according to claim 1, wherein [one of claims 1 to 6, - in which] the speech recognition module [(Ei)] is constructed at least for [the] execution of one of the following types of speech recognition:

- 5       [--] Individual numeral recognition,
- [--] Recognition of chains of numerals,
- [--] Recognition of words from a limited vocabulary,
- [--] Recognition of an individual word with an unlimited vocabulary,
- [--] Recognition of speech spoken in a flowing manner with an unlimited
- 10       vocabulary,
- [--] Recognition of predetermined word combinations,
- [--] Keyword recognition,
- [--] Alphabet recognition,
- [--] Sound sequence recognition,
- 15       [--] Speech recognition,
- [--] DTMF recognition,
- and/or

- [- in which the] wherein a respective speech output module of the plurality of
- speech output modules [(Aj)] is constructed at least for the execution of one
- 20       of the following types of speech output:
- [-- Output] output of predetermined stored speech stores,
- [-- Output] output of combined individual predetermined stored speech
- stores,
- [-- Output] output of words synthesized from stored phonemes, and
- 25       [-- Output] output of DTMF tones.



8. **(Amended)** [Method] A method for speech processing, comprising  
the steps of:

analyzing [- in which] an input signal [(ES) is analyzed (401), with  
which signal it is described] that describes what type of speech recognition  
and/or speech output is further required[.];

activating [- in which], dependent on the input signal [(ES)], from a  
set of several speech recognition modules [(Ei, i = 1..n)] and/or speech  
output modules [(Aj, j = 1..m)], specifically constructed respectively for a  
particular type of speech recognition and/or speech output, respectively, at  
least one speech recognition module [(Ei)] and/or at least one speech output  
module, respectively, [(Aj) is activated (402),] and

executing [- in which] the respective type of speech recognition  
and/or speech output [is executed] with the [selected] activated speech  
recognition module and/or speech output module [(403)].

9. **(Amended)** [Method] The method according to claim 8, wherein  
[in which] a pre-processing [(VV)] of the speech signal to be recognized  
takes place before the speech recognition.

10. **(Amended)** [Method] The method according to claim 8 [or 9],  
wherein [in which] after the speech recognition a post-processing of [the] a  
recognized speech signal takes place.

#### **IN THE ABSTRACT**

On page 43, please delete lines 1-4, and insert the following  
heading: --**ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE**--.

On page 43, line 5, please change "comprises" to --has--.

On page 43, line 11, please change "means" to --device--.

On page 43, line 16, please delete "Sig. Fig. 1".

**REMARKS**

The claims have been amended to place them in proper U.S. form.

5 No new matter is added by the foregoing amendments.

Applicant respectfully requests entry of the above preliminary amendments prior to calculation of the filing fees.

Respectfully submitted,



(Reg.No. 27,888)

10 John R. Garrett  
Hill & Simpson  
A Professional Corporation  
85th Floor Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
15 (312) 876-0200; Ext. 3078  
Attorneys for Applicant

Specification

Speech processing system and method

5 In standard speech processing systems, e.g. for  
telephone-based applications, the widest variety of  
possibilities are available for verbal input and output. This  
ranges from the recognition of individually spoken numerals  
and a mostly limited number of command words to the  
10 possibility of the input of expressions spoken in a flowing  
manner. The output possibilities range from the output of  
completely recorded expressions to the purely synthetically  
generated acoustic output of texts from e.g. stored phonemes.

Applications of speech processing systems in the  
15 industrial, public and private sectors are confronted with  
very different demands both on speech input and also on speech  
output. This is due to the large number of application  
possibilities and the verbal tasks thereby to be solved by the  
speech processing systems.

20 This also holds for speech dialog systems in which the  
speech processing system stands in an arbitrarily constructed  
dialog with the user of the speech processing system.

Given an application in the area of speech processing  
systems, it can for example be required that at a particular  
25 time a numeral is interrogated individually by the user, while  
at another time within the same dialog a date can be inputted  
by the user, to be processed by the speech processing system.

Likewise, during output at one point the output of a  
stored speech segment may be sufficient, whereas at a  
30 different point of an application scenario a speech synthesis

may be more suitable due to the high variability of the text to be outputted.

In addition, at different times different performance features of speech recognizers may be regarded as necessary by the operator; for example, the interruptibility of the system output may be desirable, whereas at a different point it may be desired that the user listen to the complete output.

In order to [...] the most efficient possible application of the specialized known algorithms for speech recognition and speech output, and also speech dialog systems with more complex recognition characteristics and output characteristics than for example for simple numeral recognition or only for the isolated recognition of a small number of command words, up to now it was standard to create the systems in such a way that the specific requirements on speech recognition or, respectively, on speech output were taken into account by means of the particular selection of technical methods and specific software measures.

However, this requires a large expense in expert personnel, which as a rule must be furnished anew for each new situation of application. By means of this procedure, an efficient economical use of speech processing systems is hindered considerably.

In the area of telephony, there exist dialog systems in which a selection takes place e.g. via what are called DTMF tones and simple naming of numerals or of some less predetermined command words.

However, the expense for a new development of a speech processing system or, respectively, of a speech dialog system with the previous methods and systems is very expensive.

From [3], a method is known for improving speech recognition while taking into account various digital coding conditions. For a multiplicity of speech recognition modules that all use the same speech recognition algorithm, but  
5 adapted as optimally as possible to different channel coding methods for received signals, the channel coding method respectively used is recognized and the respectively corresponding speech recognition module is selected.

From [6], a method and arrangement are known for  
10 generating a multiplicity of electrical signals. In this arrangement, a multiplicity of speech output units with identical construction are combined with one another to form the overall arrangement.

The invention is thus based on the problem of indicating  
15 a speech processing system and a method for speech processing with which an optimal context-sensitive use of speech recognition modules and/or speech output modules is achieved, with a reduction of the required computing capacity.

The problem is solved by means of the speech processing  
20 system according to patent claim 1, as well by means of the method according to patent claim 8.

The speech processing system comprises several speech recognition modules and/or speech output modules that are respectively provided for a predeterminable type of speech  
25 recognition or, respectively, speech output. In addition, the speech processing system comprises a means for selecting a speech recognition module and/or speech output module. With this means, dependent on an input signal supplied to the means the respective speech recognition module or, respectively,  
30 speech output module is selected, activated, and the corresponding speech signal or, respectively, the required

parameters are supplied to the respective module. The speech recognition or, respectively, the speech output is then executed by the respective module.

In the method, an input signal is analyzed with which it is described what type of speech recognition or, respectively, speech output is required. Dependent on the input signal, at least one speech recognition module and/or at least one speech output module is activated for the speech recognition or, respectively, speech output respectively described by the input signal. With the respectively selected or, respectively, activated module, the respective type of speech recognition or, respectively, speech output is carried out.

Both the speech processing system and the method comprise a number of considerable advantages in relation to the prior art.

The requirement for computing capacity or, respectively, processing resources for speech recognition or, respectively, for speech output is reduced considerably, since, dependent on the respectively required situation of application, the module that is optimally adapted for the situation is used for speech recognition or, respectively, for speech output.

The speech processing system can also be used to great advantage for development support in the creation of speech dialog system [sic]. This speech processing system offers particular advantages in this area because a rapid and simple adaptation of the existing technical speech recognition methods and speech output methods to the respective specific requirements of the situation of application is possible.

In addition, a flexible use of the various capacities and performance features thereof, adapted to the specific dialog situation, is achieved. A flexible adaptation of the speech

recognition performance and speech output performance to the resources available for the users in the specific dialog system, e.g. to the available computer capacity, is also possible.

5       The speech processing system thus represents an important means for the configuration and adaptation according to need of speech input and speech output in the widest variety of situations of application.

10       Advantageous developments of the invention result from the dependent claims.

15       In a development of the speech processing system, it is very advantageous to use particular algorithms, i.e. particular parts of the modules, in common, whereby a redundant realization of algorithms only actually required once is avoided.

20       It is also an advantageous development that several speech recognition modules use common resources, e.g. common lexicons for speech recognition. This leads to a considerable savings of resources, e.g. memory requirement.

25       In addition, it is advantageous to provide a dialog run control with which a dialog of the speech processing system with a user can be realized.

      An embodiment of the invention is shown in the Figures and is explained in more detail in the following.

25       Fig. 1     shows the speech processing system with some developments;

      Fig. 2     shows a block diagram in which the dialog sequence control system is shown;

30       Fig. 3     shows a flow diagram in which a possible method executed for dialog sequence control is shown;

Fig. 4 shows a flow diagram in which the inventive method is represented.

Fig. 1 shows the speech processing system. The speech processing system comprises at least one speech recognition module  $E_1, E_2, E_i, \dots, E_n$ . An index  $i$ , an arbitrary number between 1 and  $n$ , unambiguously identifies the speech recognition module  $E_i$ . The letter  $n$  designates a number of speech recognition modules in the speech processing system.

In addition, the speech recognition system additionally or alternatively comprises at least one speech output module  $A_1, A_2, A_j, \dots, A_m$ . A second index  $j$ , an arbitrary number between 1 and  $m$ , unambiguously identifies each speech output module  $A_j$ . A number of speech output modules in the speech processing system is designated  $m$ .

In addition, the speech processing system comprises a means MA for selecting the speech recognition modules  $E_i$  or, respectively, the speech output modules  $A_j$ . The means MA for selecting the modules is coupled with the individual modules  $E_i, A_j$ , so that signals can be sent by the means MA to the individual modules  $E_i, A_j$  for the selection of the modules  $E_i, A_j$ , e.g. for the activation of the individual modules  $E_i, A_j$ , or also for transferring parameters that are required by the individual modules for the execution of the speech recognition or of the speech output.

#### **Speech recognition modules $E_i$**

Fundamental concepts of speech recognition are described for example in documents [1] and [2].

The individual speech recognition modules  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$  are modules that are respectively specialized for a particular type of speech recognition.



The individual speech recognition modules  $E_i$  can for example be realized by hardware or also by individual software procedures.

In the following, an overview is given (in no way to be regarded as final) of provided speech recognition modules  $E_i$  that can be used within the scope of the speech processing system.

- Individual numeral recognizer

If a speech recognition module  $E_i$  is fashioned as an individual numeral recognizer, it is constructed so as to process as input a single speech signal spoken in by the user B of the speech processing system, which signal represents a single numeral from a selection of numerals. The individual numeral recognizers thereby standardly differ from one another in the modeling of the acoustic pattern. Systems are known in which the acoustic pattern of different speakers for an entire word must be stored, and, using comparison methods such as what is called dynamic programming or dynamic time warping, or also using neural networks, the best-fitting word is determined in the recognition process. Other known modules for individual numeral recognition are based on the principle of hidden Markov models. In this principle of individual numeral recognition, the sequence of sounds of the words spoken by several speakers are stored as a state sequence with variable and predetermined length. In the recognition of the words, in this case of the numeral, the smallest difference from the stored word sequence is determined. In variants of this principle, the sequences of the individual phonetic units are stored in hidden Markov models, said units being obtained from the data of several speakers, as a rule numerals. In order to reduce the required computing capacity, in an

individual numeral recognizer the fact can be exploited that a further sequence cannot follow any of the stored phonetic sequences. For this reason, in this case a computing-intensive detection of word boundaries is not required. The vocabulary to be examined is also relatively small, since only the numerals need be examined.

- Numeral chain recognizer

If a speech recognition module  $E_i$  is constructed as a numeral chain recognizer, as a rule the speech recognition module is to be equated with recognizers for continuous speech. A number of predeterminable words defined in a digital lexicon (numerals in this specific case) can be expressed in an arbitrary sequence one after the other by a user B of the speech processing system. For this case, a detection of the boundaries between the individual words is required. This can take place either by means of a method for the explicit detection of the word boundaries, or the word boundaries are defined by the word search algorithm. In the word search, all numerals in the input signal are examined in parallel, and various ends are hypothesized. At each end, a further numeral can be sought in the signal. For this purpose, a search space is constructed that pursues the various alternatives at the same time and orders them according to predeterminable criteria of quality. In this context, for example the principle of dynamic programming can be used, as described in document [1]. Such a criterion can be the difference of the observed parts of the signal from the reference patterns, the individually stored numerals. As a rule, numeral chain recognizers are distinguished from continuous recognizers by the particular type of modeling of the acoustic reference patterns, in which a specific manner of

articulation or typical lengths of the individual numerals are exploited. By means of a modeling of pauses in speech or by means of a decision criterion, e.g. the energy visible in the signal, the end of such a sequence can be detected in various  
5 heuristics.

- Recognition of words from a limited vocabulary

If a speech recognition module  $E_i$  is constructed as a speech recognizer for particular frequent command words from a limited vocabulary, called the core vocabulary, then  
10 algorithmically the corresponding method is applied as is also applied for individual numeral recognition, but with the difference that the vocabulary is expanded by the corresponding words, or, respectively, that the vocabulary differs from the numerals with the corresponding stored  
15 representatives of the individual words. The specially expanded or, respectively, replaced vocabulary is predetermined for the speech recognizer and as a rule is trained with a larger number of speakers.

- Recognition of an individual word from an unlimited  
20 vocabulary

For the recognition of speech spoken in a flowing manner with an unlimited vocabulary, a speech recognition module  $E_i$  is constructed in such a way that the words of the vocabulary are not known to the speech recognition algorithm that is  
25 realized in the speech recognition module  $E_i$ , but rather are determined beforehand by a user B. The algorithm for speech recognition is standardly based on the recognition of a sequence of phonetic units, called phonemes, or other word subunits from which the words of the vocabulary to be  
30 recognized can be composed. In the lexicon of the speech recognition module  $E_i$ , the phonetic sequences that identify

the respective word are stored in a memory. In their modeling, the sounds can also comprise further subunits, such as for example the beginning of the phoneme, the middle of the phoneme, the end of the phoneme, the transition of the phoneme, etc. The speech recognition method in turn searches the observed speech signal for the sequence with the smallest difference from the reference data. The individual word recognizer can algorithmically exploit the circumstance that a further sequence cannot follow any of the phonetic sequences stored in the stock.

- Recognition of speech spoken in a flowing manner with an unlimited vocabulary

If a speech recognition module  $E_i$  is constructed for the recognition of expressions of the user spoken in flowing fashion with an unlimited vocabulary, then as a rule the speech recognition module  $E_i$  differs from a speech recognition module  $E_i$  for numeral chain recognition in the type of modeling of the phonetic units. If the lexical inventory, i.e. the vocabulary, is unlimited, which is also designated an open lexical inventory, the acoustic modeling takes place mostly on phonetic units, the phonemes, or on word subunits from which the vocabulary to be recognized can be composed. As in the speech recognition module  $E_i$  for continuous numerals, in this construction of the speech recognition module the boundaries between the words are determined by a suitable search. This takes place for example by means of a modeling of speech pauses or by means of a decision criterion, e.g. the energy visible in the signal. The speech recognition module can output the most probable sequence of words, or, with expansions in the search algorithm, can output a predeterminable number of the most probable sequences of

words. In continuous speech recognition modules, the search is mostly supported by a modeling of the word sequences that are typical or possible for a particular speech segment, called language models. Language models are to be understood for example as grammatical modellings of the word sequences or word lists, or statistical modellings in the sense of what is called an N-gram analysis.

- Recognition of predetermined word combinations

For the recognition of predetermined word combinations, a speech recognition module E1 is constructed in such a way that for example date indications, time indications, measure indications, count indications, etc., are used as a special vocabulary. These speech recognition modules Ei are standardly speech recognition modules Ei for continuous speech, whose lexical inventory is however tailored to the predetermined word combinations from the respective regions, such as for example a date indication or a measure indication. In addition, the word sequence search can be supported by a modeling of the possible word sequences, as in a continuous speech recognition module Ei, whereby this modeling is distinguished in that it essentially takes into particular account the ways of expression that are to be expected in one of these verbal subregions, e.g. date indications.

- Keyword recognition

In a speech recognition module Ei for keyword recognition, one or several predetermined words previously stored in a lexicon can be detected in a speech input signal. The various possibilities for constructing the speech recognition module for keyword recognition are described for example in document [4].

- Recognition of keyword combinations

For the recognition of keyword combinations, the same principle is used as for the recognition of keywords, with the difference that pre-defined word chains can be detected in an arbitrary input signal ES. The word chains can be stored  
5 either explicitly as reference data or can be described by other methods, for example language models or grammars.

- Alphabet recognition

If a speech recognition module Ei is constructed as an alphabet recognition means, the letters are standardly  
10 described with particular acoustic phonetic models. In this case, the letters of the alphabet are essentially used as lexical units that are provided in a memory of the speech processing system. An alphabet recognizer can for example be realized as an individual word recognizer [...] the isolated  
15 alphabet or also as a continuous recognizer for a flowing spelling. In continuous alphabet recognizers, the search for the actual word sequences can be supported by language models or also by grammars.

- Phonetic sequence recognition

20 A speech recognition module Ei for phonetic sequence recognition is distinguished in that the acoustic units are modeled on phonetic units, and the phonetic sequences to be recognized are not limited by a lexicon. Phonetic sequence recognizers or, respectively, phoneme recognizers are based on  
25 acoustic-phonetic modellings of the sounds of a language. The search in the acoustic speech signal is carried out with the phonetic inventory. An arbitrary chain of sounds is hypothesized. In the acoustic analysis, various heuristics are used in order to prevent a too-rapid exchange of the  
30 hypothesized sounds, the phonemes, in an expression. In addition, phonetic regularities of a language can be taken

into account as limitations of the sound sequences to be recognized, in the sense of language models.

- DTMF recognition

5 A DTMF recognition is known from the widest variety of telephonic speech recognition.

As a speech output module  $A_j$ , various known principles can be used for the realization of various speech output principles:

- Output of predetermined stored speech stocks

10 If a speech output module  $A_j$  is constructed for the output of predetermined stored speech stocks, speech expressions previously spoken by an arbitrary person, recorded and stored in digitized form, are outputted via an arbitrary acoustic channel. The recorded expressions can hereby be the  
15 complete expressions to be outputted that are respectively provided for a particular situation, or else also fragments thereof that are put together during runtime to form complete expressions. A typical example of this is the formation of chains of numerals, whose length and composition cannot in  
20 most cases be foreseen. However, various intonational variants of one and the same expression may also be present according to context, and can be selected in a software program corresponding to the current context. In addition, various methods can be used that can carry out what is called  
25 an acoustic smoothing of the stocks used at the transitions of the concatenation of the individual speech stocks in the most various form.

- Output of words synthesized from stored phonemes

Possible realizations for the construction of speech  
30 output modules for the synthesis of stored phonemes to form synthesized words are described in document [5].

The means MA for the selection of the speech recognition modules  $E_i$  or, respectively, speech output modules  $A_j$  respectively to be used in an application scenario is constructed in such a way that, dependent on an input signal ES supplied to the means MA, at least one of the speech recognition modules or, respectively, of the speech output modules is activated, and, insofar as is required, parameters required in the further method for speech recognition or, respectively, for speech output are supplied to the individual speech recognition modules  $E_i$  or, respectively, speech output modules  $A_j$ . The corresponding parameters can for example be that resources are assigned to the speech recognition modules  $E_i$  or, respectively, to the speech output modules  $A_j$ , or also for example that the memory addresses of the digital lexicons used are supplied to the speech recognition modules  $E_i$  or, respectively, to the speech output modules  $A_j$ .

In this embodiment, the results, i.e. the outputted speech signals from the speech output modules  $A_j$ , are supplied to the means MA, and are outputted to the user B via for example an output AS of the speech processing system. A speech signal spoken by a user B is supplied to the means MA via an input E, for example realized by a microphone, and is supplied to the respectively activated speech recognition module  $E_i$  via the means MA, dependent on the respectively activated speech recognition modules  $E_i$ .

The individual modules  $E_i$ ,  $A_j$  use, among other things, knowledge sources, e.g. digital lexicons, general data stored in a memory of the speech processing system. The knowledge sources are for example also to be understood as including for example acoustic models specialized to the respective speech recognition task, e.g. an individual word recognizer with



sound models or individual word recognizer with acoustic models for arbitrary words. However, the knowledge sources can also be the specific vocabularies available for selection by the recognizers for a given dialog step in a dialog system, or for particular, expected input-specific speech models, as they are known.

The vocabulary used by the speech recognition modules  $E_i$  is arbitrarily expandable by means of orthographic, i.e. speaker-independent, or verbal, i.e. speaker-dependent, input, both in production and also currently during the runtime of the speech processing system by means of an evaluation of the running input data, and is thus adaptable to changing demands.

By means of suitable learning methods, the knowledge sources can be modified during the operation of the speech processing system, and can be adapted to the particular situation of use and application.

In addition, a parameter that is supplied to the speech recognition modules  $E_i$  or to the speech output modules  $A_j$  can be that the parameter indicates whether speech signals spoken by the user B can be processed during a speech output itself and recorded by the speech processing system.

In the following, a construction of the speech processing system as a speech dialog system is shown, on the basis of a very simple example for a dialog situation.

In the following representation, in a pseudo-message flow diagram, at the beginning of a row on the left side of an arrow the sender of a particular signal is respectively described, and on the right side of an arrow the receiver of the signal that is further indicated in this row is respectively described.

In this example, a development that is described later, namely a dialog sequence control DA, is assumed, without limitation of generality.

DA → MA: Output ("Please state your number after the tone")

5 MA → A1: PlayPromptFile  
(Please\_state\_your\_number\_after\_the\_tone)

A1 → MA: PlayPromptFinished

MA → DA: OutputComplete

10 DA → MA: RecognizeExpression (Domain=Numerals, Number max.=5)

MA → E1: BeginRecognition(Mode=Individual numeral,  
Lexicon=Digits)

15 MA → E2: BeginRecognition(Mode=Continuous numeral  
recognition, Lexicon=Digits)

E1 → MA: RecognizeOutput(Mode=Individual numeral, Result=0)

E2 → MA: RecognizeOutput(Mode=continuous numerals, recognized  
numeral sequence: 1 2 3 4 5)

20 MA → DA: InputNumeralSequence: (1 2 3 4 5)

DA → MA: Output("Your number is 1 2 3 4 5")

DA → A1: PlayPromptFile(Your\_number\_is)

MA → A2: Synthesize(NumeralSequence 1 2 3 4 5)

A1 → MA: PlayPromptFinished

25 A2 → MA: Synthesize Finished

MA → DA: OutputComplete

DA → MA: Output ("Do you wish to speak with sales or with  
customer service?")

30 MA → A1: PlayPromptFile(Do\_you\_wish\_to\_speak\_with\_sales\_or  
with \_customer\_service)

A1 → MA: Prompt file not available  
 MA → A2: Synthesize(Do you wish to speak with sales or with  
 customer service?)  
 A2 → MA: Synthesis finished  
 5 MA → DA: Output complete

DA → DM: RecognizeSpeech(Domain=Sales\_or\_customer\_service)  
 MA → E3: BeginRecognition(Mode=continuous, Lexicon=Core  
 vocabulary)

10 DM → E4: BeginRecognition(Mode=keyword recognition,  
 Lexicon=sales and customer service)

E3 → MA: RecognizerOutput(Mode=continuous, Result="sales,  
 please")

15 E4 → MA: RecognizerOutput(Mode=keyword recognition,  
 result="sales")

MA → DA: InputCharacterSequence(sales)

DA → MA: Output("Your date of birth, please")

20 MA → A1: PlayPromptFile(Your\_date\_of\_birth\_please)

DA → MA: RecognizeExpression(Domain=Date)

DM → E5: BeginRecognition(Mode=continuous, Lexicon=date  
 indication)

25 E5 → MA: RecognizeOutput(Mode=Continuous, Result 1="January  
 first, nineteen-fifty," Result 2="November first,  
 nineteen-fifty")

MA → DA: InputCharacterSequence(January first nineteen-fifty)

DA → MA: Output("You were born on January first, nineteen-  
 fifty")

30 MA → A3: ConcatOutput(You\_were\_born\_on January first,  
 nineteen-fifty)

A3 → MA: PlayPromptConcatComplete  
 MA → DA: OutputComplete  
 DA → MA: RecognizeSpeech(Domain=y/n question)  
 MA → E6: BeginRecognition(mode=individual word,  
 5                   lexicon=(yes,no))

In this simple example, the speech recognition modules E<sub>i</sub> comprise the following simple speech recognition characteristics:

10           The speech recognition module E<sub>1</sub> is constructed as an individual numeral recognizer, E<sub>2</sub> is constructed as a speech recognition module for the recognition of continuous numerals, i.e. chains of numerals. The speech recognition module E<sub>3</sub> is constructed as a speech recognition module for the recognition  
 15 of speech spoken in a flowing manner with a limited vocabulary, the lexicon "core vocabulary." The speech recognition module E<sub>4</sub> is constructed as a keyword recognizer with the lexicon "sales and customer service," and the speech recognition module E<sub>5</sub> is constructed as a speech recognition  
 20 module for recognizing predetermined word combinations; in this specific case it is constructed for the recognition of a date indication.

In this simple example, the speech output modules A<sub>j</sub> used are constructed as a module for the output of predetermined,  
 25 stored speech stores (A<sub>1</sub>), a module for synthesizing speech from for example phonemes (A<sub>2</sub>), and a module for outputting compositions of individually predetermined stored speech stores (A<sub>3</sub>).

As can be seen from this simple example, the results of  
 30 the speech recognition are supplied to the means MA by the speech recognition modules E<sub>i</sub>, or, respectively, the speech

signal to be outputted is supplied to the means MA by the speech output modules Aj.

On the other hand, the respective information required for the recognition is supplied to the individual modules Ei, Aj by the means MA, e.g. also the speech signal uttered into the speech processing system.

A further development of the speech processing system and of the method for speech processing is that the speech signal is also simultaneously processed by several speech recognition modules Ei or speech output modules Aj, and that the respective best result with respect to an arbitrary similarity criterion is applied, or also only one result is applied in order to increase recognition security.

#### **Dialog sequence control**

With the dialog sequence control DA, a predeterminable dialog is carried out with the user B of the speech processing system according to the situation of application, and, dependent on the respective dialog situation, control information, e.g. information still required, is supplied to the means MA. In addition, in this development for example the result of the speech recognition modules is evaluated in the means for dialog sequence control, which can for example take place in the following manner:

The dialog sequence control DA comprises at least the following components that are coupled with one another, e.g. via a bus BU (cf. Figure 2):

- an input means EM,
- an input memory ESP,
- an action determination unit AE,
- a parameter parser PP.

The manner of operation of the individual components of the dialog sequence control DA will be explained in more detail below in connection with the methods for sequence control of a dialog with the user B.

5       The action determination unit AE serves on the one hand for the determination of action indications and on the other hand for the determination of at least one predeterminable action that is indicated by the action indication. The action is determined from an arbitrary number of predeterminable  
10       actions. Various ways of proceeding for the determination of the action sought, which action is indicated by the action indication, are known to those skilled in the art. However, some possibilities, presented as examples, for the realization thereof will be explained in detail in connection with the  
15       method.

      The parameter parser PP serves for the determination of action parameters that are respectively allocated unambiguously to each action, from the digital action information stored in the input memory ESP.

20       In Figure 2, the dialog sequence control DA is represented with some developments of the dialog sequence control DA for action determination.

      An information database ID provided in a construction respectively contains sought application-specific information  
25       that is determined on the basis of the determined action and the action parameters subsequently determined for the action.

      In a development, an action clarification unit AKE is provided for the determination of further action indications by the user. Above all, the action clarification unit AKE is  
30       of increased importance in areas of application where it is important to determine the sought action among various

actions. If the action indications handed over to the dialog sequence control DA by the user B are not sufficient for the determination of the action, the action clarification unit AKE is applied for the determination of further action indications by the user B. The construction of the action clarification unit AKE is explained in more detail in connection with the method. However, it is not necessary that the action clarification unit AKE determine exactly one action. It is likewise provided to reduce the number of predetermined actions in an arbitrary manner, in order in this way to further process only a smaller number of actions.

In a development, a parameter clarification unit PKE is provided for the determination of further action parameters by the user B. The parameter clarification unit PKE is applied if for the at least one determined action not all action parameters allocated to the respective actions can be determined. For this case it is advantageous that the parameter clarification unit PKE is applied in order to determine missing action parameters from the user B.

In a development of the dialog sequence control DA, it is additionally advantageous to provide a first action memory AS1 and/or a second action memory AS2. In the first action memory AS1, actions and their action parameters are stored in which the at least one action indication agrees completely with at least one part of key terms whose meaning is described below. In the second action memory AS2, actions and the action parameters thereof are stored in which at least one of the action indications agrees with at least one key term.

In addition, in a development a means GF is provided for the generation of questions (prompts) to the user B for the determination of further action indications and/or further

action parameters. The design of the means GF for generating questions is known for example from document [3].

In addition, in a development of the dialog sequence control DA it is provided to use a control unit STE for  
5 controlling a background application HA using the determined information. The construction of the control unit STE is completely application-specific, and serves only to apply the determined action for the controlling of the background application HA. The various possibilities of use, and thus  
10 also the various constructions of the control unit STE, are described in detail below.

In addition, in a development a key term editor SE is provided with which on the one hand the key terms designed below, and on the other hand new actions and/or action  
15 parameters, can be modified, removed, or added.

The individual steps of the method are shown in Figure 3.

In a first step 301, action information comprising at least one action indication is handed over by the user B of the dialog sequence control DA. The method need not  
20 necessarily be carried out with the application described above; it is also possible to execute the method with the aid of a computer.

The action information is handed over by the user B to the dialog sequence control DA or to the speech processing  
25 system. The action information is digitized and stored as digitized action information 302. The digital action information can for example be stored in a phoneme representation or also in the form of a standard code for the representation of written characters (e.g. ASCII code).

30



In a further step 303, at least one action indication is determined from the stored action information using the parameter parser PP, whose design is known for example from document [4].

5        Using the action indication and the action determination unit AE, at least one action is determined 304.

      The determination of the at least one action can take place in various ways. One very simple, but in no way exclusively usable, method is to allocate to each action a  
10        predeterminable number of key terms that respectively characterize the action, and to compare the action indications determined from the action information, determined by the parameter parser PP, with the key terms. The comparison can take place by means of a direct word comparison or also by  
15        means of an arbitrary pattern comparison whose algorithms are familiar to anyone skilled in the art.

      If the at least one action has been determined 304, then for all actions the action parameters that are unambiguously allocated to the actions are determined from the digital  
20        action information using the parameter parser PP 305. On the basis of the determined action, as well as of the associated action parameters, the action is now carried out in a final step 306.

      In a development of the method, information concerning  
25        the action is determined from the information database ID, and is represented to the user B via the output unit AUS.

      If the action indications contained in the digital action information are not sufficient for the determination of at least one action 401, it is provided in a development to  
30        determine further action indications for example by means of a dialog with the user B 402.

This takes place for example using the action clarification units AKE, which is [sic] preferably constructed in such a way that for the predetermined actions at least one determination prompt is respectively unambiguously allocated.

5 The determination prompt is to be understood for example as questions that are allocated to the respective action and are fixedly stored. These determination prompts are represented to the user B in the case of insufficient information for the determination of the actions. The user B gives responses to  
10 these determination prompts, i.e. to the corresponding questions, which responses are then in turn processed, whereby further action indications are determined. The dialog is carried out and repeated until the determined action indications and further action indications are sufficient for  
15 the identification of the predeterminable number of actions.

If the at least one action is determined, then for each action the action parameters allocated to the respective action are determined 305.

If, however, not all required action parameters are  
20 completely known or have been completely determined from the digital action information determined in the input memory ESP, which is checked in an additional method step 403, then the remaining action parameters are for example determined by the parameter clarification unit PKE 404.

25 This also takes place for example in a dialog with the user B. For this dialog, prompts allocated to the individual parameters, called parameter prompts in the following, can in turn be used, which, given the necessary determination of the corresponding action parameters, can be outputted to the user  
30 B, and the user B responds to the corresponding questions.

Both the determination of further action indications 402 and also the determination of the remaining action parameters 404 can however also take place in a different way.

For example, it is not absolutely necessary to allocate  
5 fixedly predetermined determination prompts or parameter prompts to the individual actions or, respectively, parameters, whereby on the one hand the simplicity in the execution of the method and in the adaptation of the respective application and the expandability of the actions  
10 and parameters is indeed considerably simplified, but the user B is always given only fixedly predetermined questions.

In a development of the method and of the dialog sequence control DA, it is provided to use the means GF for the generation of questions to the user B. A possibility for the  
15 realization of the means GF is for example described in document [3].

In a development of the method, it is provided to execute the following steps for the determination of the action in the action determination unit AE. In the action determination  
20 unit AE, for each action the comparison of the key terms with the action indications is carried out.

A first set of actions is determined in which all action indications agree with at least a part of the key terms. Graphically described, this procedure means that the  
25 intersection of all actions indicated by the action indications is determined. The first set of actions is for example stored in the first action memory AS1.

In addition, a second set of actions is formed in which at least one part of the action indications agrees with the  
30 key terms. This procedure means, graphically, a formation of

the union of all actions indicated. The second set of actions is for example stored in the second action memory AS2.

If the first set contains exactly one element, the action to be determined is identified unambiguously. For this case,  
5 no further clarification dialog is required. If, however, the first set contains more elements than the number of actions to be determined, the action is not specified precisely enough by the initial user input. In this case, it is advantageous to execute the clarification dialog described above.

10 If the first set is an empty set, the initial user expression contains indications of disjoint actions. In this case, the clarification dialog is advantageously controlled by the actions contained in the second set.

15 If both the first set and also the second set are empty sets, then for example a clarification dialog is carried out for distinguishing all actions known in the application.

Thus, the first set and the second set in this development serve as a foundation for the dialog with the user B for the determination of the at least one action.

20 In a development of the method, the determined action can advantageously be used for the controlling of at least one background application HA.

25 The background application HA is to be understood as the widest variety of possibilities of use of the method and of the dialog sequence control DA, which are explained below.

The method and the dialog sequence control DA can for example advantageously be used in the following areas:

- in a telephone service,
- 30 - in a telepurchasing system and/or telebanking system,
- in what is known as a voice mail handling system,

- for controlling an arbitrary machine, e.g. a machine tool or a robot,
- for controlling a computer,
- in a messaging system of a branch exchange of a telecommunication system.

In addition, in a development of the method it is advantageous that the evaluation of the digital action information that is stored in the input memory ESP is determined by the parameter parser PP in a sequence that is inverted in relation to the input of the action information.

This means that the evaluation of the action information at the conclusion of the action information is begun and is continued until the beginning of the action information. By means of this procedure, a correction of the action information by the user B is possible in a sentence, which is explained in more detail below.

For easier understanding of the dialog sequence control DA, in the following a very simple example from the area of tourism is presented. For example, in this area of application the following actions are defined:

- Information about train connections;
- Information about flight connections;
- Information about boat connections;
- Information about hotel rooms;
- Restaurant information.

The individual actions can for example be characterized by the following parameters:

- Train information: <departure location>, <arrival location>, <date>, <time>
- Flight information: <departure location>, <arrival location>, <date>, <time>
- 5 - Boat information: <departure location>, <arrival location>, <date>, <time>
- Hotel information: <location>, <room>, <type>, <number of persons>, <check-in date>, <check-out date>
- Restaurant information: <location>, <style>, <category>.

10

In the following, the individual actions are specified in the form of a pseudo-code. A number is hereby respectively unambiguously assigned under point 2.1 to the action, under point 2.2 to the respective determination prompt and under number 2.3 to the individual parameter specifications, which, dependent respectively on the defined grammar in this case for predetermined parameter prompts, are represented in such a way that the respective grammar of the respective action parameter is respectively given under point 3.1 and point 3.3, and under point 3.2 the respective parameter prompt is shown.

15

20

action (train information)

{2.1: number = 1

2.2: determination prompt = 'Would you like to request train information?'

25

2.3: Parameter specifications = {parameter

{3.1: g\_departure(X)

3.2: 'Please state the

desired departure location.'

3.3: g\_location(X)

30

}

parameter

```

{3.1: g_information(Y)
  3.2: 'Please state
the desired arrival location.'
  3.3: g_location(Y)
}
parameter
{3.1: g_date(Z)
  3.2: 'On which date do
you wish to travel?'
  3.3: g_date(Z)
}
parameter
{3.1: g_time(W)
  3.2: 'At what time do
you wish to travel?'
  3.3: g_time(W)
}
}
2.4: Action indications = {from, to, travel, train
information, railway, railway information}
2.5: Confirmation prompt =
      'You are receiving information about trains
from X to Y on Z at W o'clock.'
}
action (flight information)
  {2.1: Number = 2
    2.2: Determination prompt = 'Would you like to request
flight information?'
    2.3: Parameter specifications = {parameter
      {3.1: g_departure(X)

```

3.2: 'Please state the  
desired departure location.'

3.3: g\_location(X)  
}

5

parameter

{3.1: g\_information(Y)

3.2: 'Please state  
the desired arrival location.'

3.3: g\_location(Y)  
}

10

parameter

{3.1: g\_date(Z)

3.2: 'On which date do  
you wish to fly?'

15

3.3: g\_date(Z)  
}

parameter

{3.1: g\_time(W)

3.2: 'At what time do  
you wish to fly?'

20

3.3: g\_time(W)  
}

}

2.4: Action indications = {from, to, travel, fly, flight  
information, aircraft}

25

2.5: Confirmation *prompt* =

'You are receiving information about  
flights from X to Y on Z at W o'clock.'  
}

30



Action (Boat information)

{2.1: Number = 3

2.2: Determination prompt = 'Would you like to receive  
boat information?'

5 2.3: Parameter specifications = {parameter  
{3.1: g\_departure(X)  
3.2: 'Please state the  
desired departure location.'

10 3.3: g\_location(X)  
}

parameter

{3.1: g\_information(Y)  
3.2: 'Please state  
the desired arrival location.'

15 3.3: g\_location(Y)  
}

parameter

{3.1: g\_date(Z)  
3.2: 'On which date do

20 you wish to travel?'  
3.3: g\_date(Z)  
}

parameter

{3.1: g\_time(W)  
3.2: 'At what time do

25 you wish to travel?'  
3.3: g\_time(W)  
}

}

30 2.4: Action indication = {from, to, travel, boat information,  
boat}

2.5: Confirmation *prompt* =

'You are receiving information about the boat  
connections from X to Y on Z at W o'clock.'

}

5

Action (Hotel information)

{2.1: Number = 4

2.2: Determination *prompt* = 'Would you like to request  
hotel information?'

10

2.3: Parameter specifications = {parameter

{3.1: g\_location indication(X)

3.2: 'In what location are you  
looking for a hotel?'

3.3: g\_location indication (X)

15

}

parameter

{g\_type of room(Y)

3.2: 'Are you looking for a  
single room or a double room?'

20

3.3: g\_type of room(Y)

}

parameter

{3.1: g\_number of persons(Z)

3.2: 'For how many persons are  
you looking for a room?'

25

3.3: g\_number of persons(Z)

}

parameter

{3.1: g\_from\_date(W)

30

3.2: 'When do you wish to check

in?'

```

3.3: g_date(W)
    }
parameter
    {3.1: g_to_date(V)
5      3.2: 'When do you wish to check
out?'

3.3: g_date(V)
    }
    }

10 2.4: Type of action = {Hotel, accommodation, single room,
double room, room}
2.5: Confirmation prompt =
    'You are receiving information about hotels in X that
have Y available for Z persons from W to V.'
15 }

Action (Restaurant information)
    {2.1: number = 5
    2.2: Determination prompt = 'Would you like to request
20 restaurant information?'
    2.3: Parameter specifications = {parameter
        {3.1: g_location indication(X)
        3.2: 'In which
location do you wish to dine?'
25 3.3: g_location(X)
        }
        parameter
        {3.1: g_style(Y)
        3.2: 'Please state the desired
30 style.'
        3.3: g_style(Y)

```

```

    }
parameter
{3.1: g_category(Z)
    3.2: 'Please state the desired
5 category.'

    3.3: g_category(Z)
    }
}

```

2.4: Action indication = {Restaurant, dine}

10 2.5: Confirmation *prompt* =

```

    'You are receiving information about Y restaurants
of category Z in X.'
}

```

15 The following table shows examples of key terms for the individual actions that are unambiguously identified by the corresponding number in the curved brackets.

	from	{1, 2, 3}
20	to	{1, 2, 3}
	travel	{1, 2, 3}
	train information	{1}
	railway	{1}
	fly	{2}
25	flight information	{2}
	aircraft	{2}
	boat information	{3}
	boat	{3}
	hotel	{4}
30	accommodations	{5}
	single room	{4}

double room	{4}
restaurant	{5}
dine	{5}

5           An example of a dialog of the user B with the dialog sequence control DA is presented in the following.

          The user B expresses his desire in a precise and complete manner.

          The user B inputs the following information:

10        "I would like to travel by train from Munich to Hamburg on January 1<sup>st</sup>, 1996 at 5:00."

          The first action memory AS1 contains the first set of actions {1} using the above-described key terms and grammars. The second action memory AS2 contains the second set of actions {1, 2, 3}.

          Since the first action memory AS1 comprises exactly one element, the sought information is determined directly by the dialog sequence control DA from the information database ID, and is for example represented to the user B by means of the following response:

20        "You are receiving information about the flights [sic] from Munich to Hamburg at 5:00 on January 1<sup>st</sup>, 1996: 1<sup>st</sup> flight, 2<sup>nd</sup> flight, 3<sup>rd</sup> flight, etc."

          In a further example, the user B states neither the time nor the means of transportation:

          The action information is for example the following:

          "I would like to travel from Munich to Hamburg on January 1<sup>st</sup>, 1996."

          In this case, the first action memory AS1 contains the first set of actions {1, 2, 3}. The second action memory AS2 contains the second set of actions {1, 2, 3}. In the dialog

sequence control DA, a clarification dialog is initiated in order to distinguish between the actions {1, 2, 3}.

For this purpose, the above-described determination prompt:

5 "Would you like railway information?"

is outputted to the user B.

The user B responds for example with "No."

10 In this case, the following determination prompt is for example outputted by the dialog sequence control DA: "Would you like flight information?"

If the user B answers "yes" to this question, a further clarification dialog is carried out in order to determine the remaining still-missing action parameters for the flight information. The parameter prompt:

15 "At what time do you wish to fly?"

is hereby for example outputted to the user B for the determination of the action parameter time.

The user B responds e.g.:

"At five o'clock."

20 The dialog sequence control DA now has sufficient information to determine the sought action. The result is for example represented to the user B in the following manner:

"You are receiving information about the flights from Munich to Hamburg on January 1<sup>st</sup>, 1996 at five o'clock."

25 Further details concerning a possible construction of the dialog sequence control DA are described in the German patent application with official file number 19615693.9.

30 Fig. 4 shows, in a flow diagram, the method in its method steps. The input signal ES is analyzed, whereby the input signal ES describes what type of speech recognition and/or

what type of speech output is required in the rest of the method 401.

In addition, dependent on the input signal ES at least one speech recognition module  $E_i$  and/or one speech output module  $A_j$  are activated 402.

In a final step 403, the respective speech recognition or, respectively, speech output is carried out in at least one of the speech recognition modules and/or speech output modules.

In addition, in a development it is provided to carry out, in a separate pre-processing module VV, all pre-processing steps or also all parts of algorithms that are simultaneously used in common in different methods for speech recognition.

In the common pre-processing, for example in at least one pre-processing module VV, it is for example possible to realize an acoustic pre-processing or what is known as a difference calculation of the examined parts of the speech signal.

In addition, in a development it is advantageous to use resources in common, for example in such a way that a lexicon of several speech recognition modules  $E_i$  is used in common. This is for example possible in that a lexicon for the recognition of speech spoken in a flowing manner contains among other things the words "yes" and "no," whereby it is possible that in this case a keyword recognizer (keyword spotter) can also access the terms "yes" and "no" in the same lexicon for the execution of the speech recognition.

A common use of the resources in the post-processing is also provided as a construction both in the method and also with a post-processing module in the speech processing system.





The following publications were cited in this document:

- 5 [1] G. Ruske, Automatische Spracherkennung, Oldenbourg-  
Verlag, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., ISBN 3-486-20877-2, Munich, pp. 106-171,  
1988.
- [2] A. Zell, Simulation neuronal Netze, Edison Wesley, 1<sup>st</sup>  
ed., ISBN 3-89319-554-8, pp. 137 - 143, 1994.
- 10 [3] Caspari, R., 1993, Bottom Up Generation from Flat  
Semantic Structures, Proc. Deklarative und prozedurale  
Aspekte der Sprachverarbeitung, Deutsche Gesellschaft für  
Sprachverarbeitung, Sektion Computerlinguistik, 4<sup>th</sup>  
Technical Conference, pp. 1 - 6.
- 15 [4] Naumann, Sven and Hagen Langer, 1994: Parsing, Stuttgart,  
Teubner, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., ISBN 3-519-02139-0, pp. 155 - 166.
- [5] DE 44 12 309 A1
- 20 [6] GB 2 119 208 A

Article 34  
Amendment

09/254242  
410 Rec'd PCT/PTO 02 MAR 1999

Patent claims

Replacement Page

1. Speech processing system

- having several speech recognition modules ( $E_i$ ,  $i=1..n$ ) and/or  
5 several speech output modules ( $A_j$ ,  $j=1..m$ ) that are respectively  
constructed specifically for a particular type of speech  
recognition and/or speech output, and  
- having a means (MA) for selecting at least one speech  
recognition module ( $E_i$ ) and/or speech output module ( $A_j$ ) for a  
10 speech recognition and/or speech output to be carried out later,  
dependent on an input signal (ES) with which it is described what  
type of speech recognition and/or speech output is further  
required.

15 2. Speech processing system according to claim 1,  
in which the means (MA) for selecting the speech recognition  
module ( $E_i$ ) and/or the speech output module ( $A_j$ ) is constructed  
in such a way that the speech recognition module ( $E_i$ ) and/or the  
speech output module ( $A_j$ ) is controlled by the means (MA).

20 3. Speech processing system according to claim 1 or 2,  
in which parts of the speech recognition module ( $E_i$ ) and/or of  
the speech output module ( $A_j$ ) that are used in common are  
realized in at least one pre-processing module (VV) and/or in at  
25 least one post-processing module.

4. Speech processing system according to claim 3,  
in which several speech recognition modules ( $E_i$ ) and/or speech  
output modules ( $A_j$ ) use common resources.

30

5. Speech processing system according to one of claims 1 to 4, in which a dialog sequence control (DA) is provided with which a dialog of the speech processing system with a user (B) of the speech processing system is realized.

6. Speech processing system according to one of claims 1 to 5, in which the input signal (ES) is produced by the dialog sequence control (DA).

7. Speech processing system according to one of claims 1 to 6,

- in which the speech recognition module (Ei) is constructed at least for the execution of one of the following types of speech recognition:

- Individual numeral recognition,
  - Recognition of chains of numerals,
  - Recognition of words from a limited vocabulary,
  - Recognition of an individual word with an unlimited vocabulary,
  - Recognition of speech spoken in a flowing manner with an unlimited vocabulary,
  - Recognition of predetermined word combinations,
  - Keyword recognition,
  - Alphabet recognition,
  - Sound sequence recognition,
  - Speech recognition,
  - DTMF recognition,
- and/or

- in which the speech output module (Aj) is constructed at least for the execution of one of the following types of speech output:

-- Output of predetermined stored speech stores,

5    -- Output of combined individual predetermined stored speech stores,

-- Output of words synthesized from stored phonemes,

-- Output of DTMF tones.

10    8. Method for speech processing,

- in which an input signal (ES) is analyzed (401), with which signal it is described what type of speech recognition and/or speech output is further required,

15    - in which, dependent on the input signal (ES), from a set of several speech recognition modules (Ei, i = 1..n) and/or speech output modules (Aj, j = 1..m), specifically constructed respectively for a particular type of speech recognition and/or speech output, at least one speech recognition module (Ei) and/or at least one speech output module (Aj) is activated (402), and

20    - in which the respective type of speech recognition and/or speech output is executed with the selected speech recognition module and/or speech output module (403).

9. Method according to claim 8,

25    in which a pre-processing (VV) of the speech signal to be recognized takes place before the speech recognition.

10. Method according to claim 8 or 9,

30    in which after the speech recognition a post-processing of the recognized speech signal takes place.

## Abstract


### Speech processing system and method

5           A speech processing system comprises an arbitrary number  
of speech recognition modules ( $E_i$ ,  $i = 1..n$ ) and speech output  
modules ( $A_j$ ,  $j = 1..m$ ). The modules provided respectively for  
a particular type of speech recognition or, respectively,  
speech output are selected and activated and parameterized  
10 according to the situation of application, dependent on an  
input signal ( $ES$ ), by a means ( $MA$ ) for selecting the modules,  
so that the selected modules are applied for speech  
recognition or, respectively, speech output corresponding to  
their construction.

15

Sig. Fig. 1

FIG 1



2 / 4

FIG 2




FIG 3







FIG 4



Activation of at least  
one speech recognition module  
and/or one speech output module

Execution of the respective speech recognition  
or, respectively, speech output of the respective  
speech recognition modules and/or of  
The speech output modules

-1-

BOX PCT

IN THE UNITED STATES ELECTED OFFICE  
OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY-CHAPTER II

5 APPLICANT(S): Gerhard Niedermair et al DOCKET NO: P99,0100  
SERIAL NO: GROUP ART UNIT:  
EXAMINER:

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO: PCT/DE97/01920  
INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE: 02 September 1997

10 INVENTION: **SPEECH PROCESSING SYSTEM AND METHOD**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents,  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED DRAWING ADDITIONS**

15 Enclosed are copies of the drawings, (Figures 1-4), showing in red,  
the addition of labels to the elements depicted in Figures 1 and 2 and the  
translation of the German words to English in Figures 3 and 4. Approval of  
the additions is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted

20  (Reg. No. 27,888)  
John R. Garrett  
Hill & Simpson  
A Professional Corporation  
85th Floor Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
25 (312) 876-0200 Ext. 3078  
Attorneys for Applicant

1 / 4

FIG 1




FIG 2




FIG 3





FIG 4



Activation of at least  
one speech recognition module  
and/or one speech output module

Execution of the respective speech recognition  
or, respectively, speech output of the respective  
speech recognition modules and/or of  
The speech output modules

# Declaration and Power of Attorney For Patent Application

## Erklärung Für Patentanmeldungen Mit Vollmacht

### German Language Declaration

Als nachstehend benannter Erfinder erkläre ich hiermit an Eides Statt:

dass mein Wohnsitz, meine Postanschrift, und meine Staatsangehörigkeit den im Nachstehenden nach meinem Namen aufgeführten Angaben entsprechen,

dass ich, nach bestem Wissen der ursprüngliche, erste und alleinige Erfinder (falls nachstehend nur ein Name angegeben ist) oder ein ursprünglicher, erster und Miterfinder (falls nachstehend mehrere Namen aufgeführt sind) des Gegenstandes bin, für den dieser Antrag gestellt wird und für den ein Patent beantragt wird für die Erfindung mit dem Titel:

Sprachverarbeitungsverfahren und Verfahren zur Sprachverarbeitung

deren Beschreibung

(zutreffendes ankreuzen)

☒ hier beigefügt ist.

☐ am \_\_\_\_\_ als

PCT internationale Anmeldung

PCT Anwendungsnummer \_\_\_\_\_  
eingereicht wurde und am \_\_\_\_\_  
abgeändert wurde (falls tatsächlich abgeändert).

Ich bestätige hiermit, dass ich den Inhalt der obigen Patentanmeldung einschliesslich der Ansprüche durchgesehen und verstanden habe, die eventuell durch einen Zusatzantrag wie oben erwähnt abgeändert wurde.

Ich erkenne meine Pflicht zur Offenbarung irgendwelcher Informationen, die für die Prüfung der vorliegenden Anmeldung in Einklang mit Absatz 37, Bundesgesetzbuch, Paragraph 1.56(a) von Wichtigkeit sind, an.

Ich beanspruche hiermit ausländische Prioritätsvorteile gemäss Abschnitt 35 der Zivilprozessordnung der Vereinigten Staaten, Paragraph 119 aller unten angegebenen Auslandsanmeldungen für ein Patent oder eine Erfindersurkunde, und habe auch alle Auslandsanmeldungen für ein Patent oder eine Erfindersurkunde nachstehend gekennzeichnet, die ein Anmeldedatum haben, das vor dem Anmeldedatum der Anmeldung liegt, für die Priorität beansprucht wird.

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

the specification of which

(check one)

☐ is attached hereto.

☐ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as

PCT international application

PCT Application No. \_\_\_\_\_  
and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_  
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

# German Language Declaration

Prior foreign applications  
Priorität beansprucht

Priority Claimed

196 35 754.3     Germany     03. September 1996  
(Number)     (Country)     (Day Month Year Filed)  
(Nummer)     (Land)     (Tag Monat Jahr eingereicht)

☒     ☐  
Yes     No  
Ja     Nein

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Number)     (Country)     (Day Month Year Filed)  
(Nummer)     (Land)     (Tag Monat Jahr eingereicht)

☐     ☐  
Yes     No  
Ja     Nein

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Number)     (Country)     (Day Month Year Filed)  
(Nummer)     (Land)     (Tag Monat Jahr eingereicht)

☐     ☐  
Yes     No  
Ja     Nein

Ich beanspruche hiermit gemäss Absatz 35 der Zivilprozessordnung der Vereinigten Staaten, Paragraph 120, den Vorzug aller unten aufgeführten Anmeldungen und falls der Gegenstand aus jedem Anspruch dieser Anmeldung nicht in einer früheren amerikanischen Patentanmeldung laut dem ersten Paragraphen des Absatzes 35 der Zivilprozessordnung der Vereinigten Staaten, Paragraph 122 offenbart ist, erkenne ich gemäss Absatz 37, Bundesgesetzbuch, Paragraph 1.56(a) meine Pflicht zur Offenbarung von Informationen an, die zwischen dem Anmeldedatum der früheren Anmeldung und dem nationalen oder PCT internationalen Anmeldedatum dieser Anmeldung bekannt geworden sind.

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §122, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Application Serial No.)  
(Anmeldeseriennummer)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Filing Date)  
(Anmeldedatum)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Status)  
(patentiert, anhängig,  
aufgegeben)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Status)  
(patented, pending,  
abandoned)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Application Serial No.)  
(Anmeldeseriennummer)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Filing Date)  
(Anmeldedatum)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Status)  
(patentiert, anhängig,  
aufgeben)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Status)  
(patented, pending,  
abandoned)

Ich erkläre hiermit, dass alle von mir in der vorliegenden Erklärung gemachten Angaben nach meinem besten Wissen und Gewissen der vollen Wahrheit entsprechen, und dass ich diese eidesstattliche Erklärung in Kenntnis dessen abgebe, dass wissentlich und vorsätzlich falsche Angaben gemäss Paragraph 1001, Absatz 18 der Zivilprozessordnung der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika mit Geldstrafe belegt und/oder Gefängnis bestraft werden können, und dass derartig wissentlich und vorsätzlich falsche Angaben die Gültigkeit der vorliegenden Patentanmeldung oder eines darauf erteilten Patentes gefährden können.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.



# German Language Declaration

VERTRETUNGSVOLLMACHT: Als benannter Erfinder beauftrage ich hiermit den nachstehend benannten Patentanwalt (oder die nachstehend benannten Patentanwälte) und/oder Patent-Agenten mit der Verfolgung der vorliegenden Patentanmeldung sowie mit der Abwicklung aller damit verbundenen Geschäfte vor dem Patent- und Warenzeichenamt: (Name und Registrationsnummer anführen)

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. (list name and registration number)

And I hereby appoint  
Messrs. John D. Simpson (Registration No. 19,842) Lewis T. Steadman (17,074), William C. Stueber (16,453), P. Phillips Connor (19,259), Dennis A. Gross (24,410), Marvin Moody (16,549), Steven H. Noll (28,982), Brett A. Valiquet (27,841), Thomas I. Ross (29,275), Kevin W. Guynn (29,927), Edward A. Lehmann (22,312), James D. Hobart (24,149), Robert M. Barrett (30,142), James Van Santen (16,584), J. Arthur Gross (13,615), Richard J. Schwarz (13,472) and Melvin A. Robinson (31,870), David R. Metzger (32,919), John R. Garrett (27,888) all members of the firm of Hill, Steadman & Simpson, A Professional Corporation.

Telefongespräche bitte richten an:  
(Name und Telefonnummer)

Direct Telephone Calls to: (name and telephone number)

312/876-0200

Ext. \_\_\_\_\_

Postanschrift:



Send Correspondence to:

**HILL, STEADMAN & SIMPSON**  
**A Professional Corporation**  
**85th Floor Sears Tower, Chicago, Illinois 60606**

Voller Name des einzigen oder ursprünglichen Erfinders: <b>NIEDERMAIR, Gerhard</b>		Full name of sole or first inventor:	
Unterschrift des Erfinders <i>Gerhard Nidermaier</i>	Datum <b>2.9.97</b>	Inventor's signature	Date
Wohnsitz <b>D-85256 Vierkirchen, Germany DEX</b>		Residence	
Staatsangehörigkeit <b>Bundesrepublik Deutschland</b>		Citizenship	
Postanschrift <b>Ludwig-Thoma-Str. 9</b>		Post Office Address	
<b>D-85256 Vierkirchen</b>			
<b>Bundesrepublik Deutschland</b>			
Voller Name des zweiten Miterfinders (falls zutreffend): <b>KARSTEN, Peter</b>		Full name of second joint inventor, if any:	
Unterschrift des Erfinders <i>Peter Karsten</i>	Datum <b>02.09.97</b>	Second Inventor's signature	Date
Wohnsitz <b>D-82061 Neuried, Germany DEX</b>		Residence	
Staatsangehörigkeit <b>Bundesrepublik Deutschland</b>		Citizenship	
Postanschrift <b>Andreas Sammer Str. 2</b>		Post Office Address	
<b>D-82061 Neuried</b>			
<b>Bundesrepublik Deutschland</b>			

(Bitte entsprechende Informationen und Unterschriften im Falle von dritten und weiteren Miterfindern angeben).

(Supply similar information and signature for third and subsequent joint inventors).

Voller Name des dritten Miterfinders:		Full name of third joint inventor:	
<b>HARENGEL, Steffen</b>			
Unterschrift des Erfinders	Datum	Inventor's signature	Date
	2.9.97		
Wohnsitz		Residence	
<b>D-80689 München, Germany DEX</b>			
Staatsangehörigkeit		Citizenship	
<b>Bundesrepublik Deutschland</b>			
Postanschrift		Post Office Address	
<b>Mitterfeldstr. 59b</b>			
<b>D-80689 München</b>			
<b>Bundesrepublik Deutschland</b>			
Voller Name des vierten Miterfinders (falls zutreffend):		Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any:	
<b>MURR, Florian</b>			
Unterschrift des Erfinders	Datum	Inventor's signature	Date
	18. Sep. 97		
Wohnsitz		Residence	
<b>D-80798 München, Germany DEX</b>			
Staatsangehörigkeit		Citizenship	
<b>Bundesrepublik Deutschland</b>			
Postanschrift		Post Office Address	
<b>Görresstr. 11</b>			
<b>D-80798 München</b>			
<b>Bundesrepublik Deutschland</b>			
Voller Name des fünften Miterfinders (falls zutreffend):		Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any:	
Unterschrift des Erfinders	Datum	Inventor's signature	Date
Wohnsitz		Residence	
Staatsangehörigkeit		Citizenship	
Postanschrift		Post Office Address	
Voller Name des sechsten Miterfinders (falls zutreffend):		Full name of sixth joint inventor, if any:	
Unterschrift des Erfinders	Datum	Inventor's signature	Date
Wohnsitz		Residence	
Staatsangehörigkeit		Citizenship	
Postanschrift		Post Office Address	

(Bitte entsprechende Informationen und Unterschriften im Falle von dritten und weiteren Miterfindern angeben).

(Supply similar information and signature for third and subsequent joint inventors).